Home

About

Donate

Trans Basics

Sleeping With The Enemy

January 7, 2011

By Asher

Straight men have been treating queer men like shit since well before the year one. We have been their boogeymen, their scapegoats, and the punch line to their jokes. Besides all that, we have had the dubious honor of being their sexual outlet when they can't attract women. For some reason, there have always been those among us willing to be treated like interchangeable fuck holes, in exchange for the perverse thrill of seducing a straight man, to have our genders ignored and our identities overlooked for the sake of quick, noncommittal sex.

There is nothing gay, as "straight" dudes have theorized for millenia, about getting your dick sucked. A hole is a hole. The real faggot is the bottom, the one who is penetrated, the one who stands in for "the woman" (who, of course, the straight man *always* keeps in mind!). This attitude is called the "active-passive split." Like many of the odder tricks of human psychology, I find it endlessly fascinating and perversely hot. The idea that in a male-male sexual interaction only *one* of the principles is engaged in homosexual behavior is so bizarre, so irrational. What mental gymnastics are necessary to maintain it? I cannot pretend to know.

What I do know is that now straight men are discovering queer trans men as potential sexual objects, and that dynamic is even more fucked. Queer men have always been looked upon as not quite men by heterosexual society. How much more in question is the maleness of queer men who are also transgender? When you add transphobia (and misplaced sexism) to an already healthy dose of homophobia, the resultant brew is potent indeed.

On Craigslist (and elsewhere) one can find ads from cis men (mostly straight or 'bi-curious') seeking trans men for NSA sex. These ads frequently request that the trans man be young, slender, and clean-shaven. Some specify that he should still have "breasts." Virtually all of them make clear that he is expected to retain his "original plumbing"— and that these parts are assumed to be available for penetration. Transgender men posting ads for cis men either seek to capitalize on meeting the criteria of being young, slender, smooth, pre-op bottoms, or else defensively specify the ways in which they do not fit these expectations (because of being hairy, muscular or fat, post-op, or tops).

The impression I gained was of a bit of a depressing scene, fueled as it often seemed to be by straight men seeking trans men to use like surrogates for women. But I don't wish to judge the participants—well, not the trans participants, anyway. Personally I avoid the closet-y attentions of "straight" or "bi-curious" cis males who are a little too interested in my surgical status, a little too concerned that my pretty-boy face remain clean shaven. But then again, it's been awhile since I was interested in one-time hook-ups. I prefer

Authors



Asher

Email Subscription

Enter your email address to subscribe to this blog and receive notifications of new posts by email.

Join 371 other followers

Sign me up!

Top Posts

- Not Your Mom's Trans 101
- GUEST POST: Queer People Not My People
- Trans Basics
- GUEST POST: Die Cis Scum
- A Field Guide To Creepy Dom

Anarchy

- Anarchy 101
- Fukshot
- In Defense Of Revolutionary Violence

Class

Stand Against Sit/Lie

Disability

- <u>a diary of gray</u>
- Dear Autism Parents
- FWD: Feminists With Disabilities

long-term fuck buddies these days. And the reality of tricking around is that a decent personality isn't a requirement for a quick fuck.

For trans people, getting laid can be difficult (though not as difficult as it's often made out to be). When so many potential partners who show promise initially turn out to be cissexist dead ends, why not skip all that heartbreak and false hope, and keep things on a cynical, casual basis? Tricking around can actually make good sense as a way to preserve dignity as a trans person, compared to the risk of taking a long-term partner who may try to control aspects of one's transition. Sadly, with cisgender (and sometimes even transgender!) partners, such controlling relationships are rather common.

Another perverse perk of one-night stands with straight guys: that whole ungendering, "fuck me from behind and pretend I'm a girl" bit... well, it's bizarre, oppressive and intensely screwed up for sure, but it's also kind of hot. My personal preference, when I want to indulge in that type of dynamic, is to do so as a consensual role play with somebody who I happen to know is not a jerk in real life. But in a relationship limited to one night, wherein real life has little time to intrude on fantasy, is not so very different from that in a way.

So what does it mean that we keep on fucking these guys? Are we enabling homophobes and transphobes and collaborating in our own oppression? Or can fucking straight guys be seen as subversive, as using the dominant element to our own ends? I don't think I can answer that question, not definitively, not across the board. I don't really even know if the answer is important. I would rather condemn bigots and closet-cases than the men who fetishize them, though of course in a perfect world they would not exist, and neither would the fetish.

As long as there is no perfect world, I think that sex and hate will probably coincide. Maybe we should be protesting cissexism and homophobia by not fucking bigots, Lysistrata-style, but I don't think such a boycott is imminent. For the foreseeable future, I think some of us will be bringing comfort to the enemy. And maybe that's OK, so long as the enemy brings comfort to us, whether they want to or not.



About Asher



Asher Bauer is fast becoming a fixture in the San Francisco kink community, and intends to stay that way. He has worked as a Queer Educator at LYRIC (Lavender Youth Recreation And Information Center), and since has taken his talents as an educator to a wider variety of audiences, teaching on subjects ranging from safer sex to BDSM to trans and queer identities. He is also one of the hosts and originators of Transmission, the new trans-centric party at the San Francisco Citadel, and Invasion, the Citadel's all-genders queer party.

View all posts by Asher

This entry was posted on Friday, January 7th, 2011 at 5:54 pm and tagged with kink, oppression, queer, sex, trans, transphobia and posted in Transgression. You can follow any responses to this entry through the RSS 2.0 feed.

- Illusion Of Competence
- Spoon Theory

Feminism

- Another Post About Rape
- Fair
- Feministe
- Feministing
- FWD: Feminists With Disabilities
- Hobbit Dragon
- Is "Tr*nny" Offensive?
- Julia Serano
- Let's Talk About "Tr*nny"
- Sadly So
- Tranfeminism
- Yes Means Yes

General Anti-Oppression

- Another Post About Rape
- Derailing For Dummies
- In Defense Of Revolutionary Violence
- Just Detention

Kink

- Hobbit Dragon
- San Francisco Citadel
- Society Of Janus

Queer

- Big Gay Warehouse
- Chroanagram
- Hobbit Dragon
- The Gay Revolutionary

Race

- An Open Letter To "White Anti-Racists"
- Shinin' The Lite On White Privilege
- Support CeCe
- we don't need another antiracism 101

Trans

6 Responses to "Sleeping With The Enemy"



<u>eternalstranger</u>

January 7th, 2011 at 6:01 pm

The idea that in a male-male sexual interaction only one of the principles is engaged in homosexual behavior is so bizarre, so irrational. What mental gymnastics are necessary to maintain it? I cannot pretend to know.

I think that the idea that gay sex was "wrong" only if you were the "receiver" was also a common idea in antiquity (like ancient Greece and Rome). So it's an old, shitty idea.

Reply

 $\underline{\textit{Tweets that mention Sleeping With The Enemy} \times \textit{Transgression} -- \\ \underline{\textit{Topsy.com}}$

January 7th, 2011 at 7:39 pm

[...] This post was mentioned on Twitter by TG World News, STP_Fitz, Asher Bauer and others. Asher Bauer said: Sleeping With The Enemy: $\frac{http://wp.me/p16xlR-1T}{http://wp.me/p16xlR-1T}$ [...]

Reply



Julian Morrison

January 10th, 2011 at 5:58 am

The old idea was: it wasn't gay sex that was shameful. *Being the woman* was shameful.

Reply



southcarolinaboy

<u>January 13th, 2011 at 3:55 pm</u>

"For the foreseeable future, I think some of us will be bringing comfort to the enemy. And maybe that's OK, so long as the enemy brings comfort to us, whether they want to or not."

Yes. That part is the key.

Reply



jayinchicago

<u>February 3rd, 2011 at 2:26 pm</u>

Eh, I reserve the right to think it's a hugely fucked up dynamic. Doesn't mean it's not a dynamic I have taken advantage of at times.

Reply



<u>Asher</u>

February 3rd, 2011 at 2:29 pm

I, too, believe it is hugely fucked up. I just don't necessarily want to call the trans men who take advantage of it fucked up.

Reply

- a diary of gray
- Big Gay Warehouse
- Binary Subverter
- Chroanagram
- clamavi ad te
- Fair
- Fukshot
- Hobbit Dragon
- <u>Is "Tr*nny" Offensive?</u>
- Julia Serano
- Let's Talk About "Tr*nny"
- Milisha Magazine
- My Words To Victor Frankenstein
- National Transgender
 Discrimination Survey
- Nuclear Unicorn
- Questioning Transphobia
- Sadly So
- Support CeCe
- Taking Steps
- Tranfeminism
- Transgression on Carnal Nation
- Transgressions: Trans Sexuality
- Why I Will Not Participate In Trans Studies